

<p>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>		<p>Application No.</p> <p>10/571,602</p>	<p>Applicant(s)</p> <p>BUSCEMA, PAOLO MASSINO</p>	
		<p>Examiner</p> <p>NATHAN BROWN</p>	<p>Art Unit</p> <p>2129</p>	

All Participants:

(1) NATHAN BROWN.

(2) Franco Serafini.

Date of Interview: 20 April 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

No rejection was discussed

Claims discussed:

Claim 28 was discussed

Prior art documents discussed:

No prior art was discussed

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Nathan H. Brown, Jr./
Examiner, Art Unit 2129

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The "program storage device readable by a machine" of claim 28 was discussed. Examiner pointed out that no explicit disclosure of such a device is found in the specification but it is an inherent part of the computer generating the disclosed results. Examiner pointed out that, as such, the interpretation of the device is still open and is considered to read on a signal. Examiner suggested the amendment of a "non-transitory program storage device" to overcome possible interpretations of the device as a signal. Examiner suggested an examiner's amendment to the current claims to expedite allowance. Attorney granted permission for the examiner's amendment on April 22, 2010.